PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security 20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20536





FILE:

Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

Date:

APR 28 2004

IN RE:

Applicant:

APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration

and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director determined that the applicant failed to provide all of the requested information. She further determined that the applicant failed to provide evidence to establish that she was eligible for filing after the initial registration period from January 5, 1999 to August 20, 1999. The director, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that she entered the United States in 1997; however, she did not apply for TPS in 1999 because she did not know that she had a time limit.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2) states, in pertinent part, that the affected party shall file an appeal, with fee, including any supporting brief with the office where the unfavorable decision was made within 30 days after service of the decision.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1), states, in part:

An appeal which is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee the Service has accepted will not be refunded.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2), states, in part:

If an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen as described in § 103.5(a)(2) of this part or a motion to reconsider as described in § 103.5(a)(3) of this part, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

The applicant's statement, on appeal, does not meet the requirements of a motion.

The record reflects that the director denied the application on October 7, 2002. The applicant was advised that she may file an appeal, along with the required fee and any supporting brief within 30 days of the service of the decision. The Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal, is very clear in indicating that the appeal is <u>not</u> to be sent directly to the AAO; but, rather, to the "office which made the unfavorable decision." The applicant, nevertheless, sent her appeal to the AAO. The appeal is not considered properly received until it is received by the Service Center that rendered the unfavorable decision. On January 15, 2003, approximately three months after the director's decision, the appeal was received, with fee, at the Texas Service Center, the office where the unfavorable decision was made.

Based on the applicant's failure to file a timely appeal, the appeal will be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.